

Claire Cameron

² Public Health Scotland, Glasgow, United Kingdom;

- Find this author on Google Scholar
- Find this author on PubMed
- Search for this author on this site

Early effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccination with BNT162b2 vaccine and ChAdOx1 adenovirus vector vaccine on symptomatic disease, hospitalisations and mortality in older adults in England

Jamie Lopez Bernal, Nick Andrews, Charlotte Gower, Julia Stowe, Chris Robertson, Elise Tessier, Ruth Simmons, Simon Cottrell, Richard Robertson, Mark O'Doherty, Kevin Brown, Claire Cameron, Diane Stockton, Jim McMenamin, Mary Ramsay

doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.01.21252652>

This article is a preprint and has not been certified by peer review [what does this mean?]. It reports new medical research that has yet to be evaluated and so should not be used to guide clinical practice.

Abstract

Info/History

Metrics

Preview PDF

Abstract

Objectives To estimate the real-world effectiveness of the Pfizer/BioNTech BNT162b2 vaccine and AstraZeneca ChAdOx1 vaccine against Confirmed COVID-19, hospitalisations and deaths. To estimate effectiveness on the UK variant of concern. **Design** Test negative case control design **Setting** Community COVID-19 PCR testing in England **Participants** All adults in England aged 70 years and older (over 7.5 million). All COVID-19 testing in the community among eligible individuals who reported symptoms between 8th December 2020 and 19th February 2021 was included in the analysis. **Interventions** One and two doses of BNT162b2 vaccine. One dose of ChAdOx1 vaccine. **Main outcome measures** Symptomatic PCR confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection, hospitalisations and deaths with COVID-19. **Results** Individuals aged ≥ 80 years vaccinated with BNT162b2 prior to 4th January, had a higher odds of testing positive in the first 9 days after vaccination (odds ratio up to 1.48, 95%CI 1.23-1.77), indicating that those initially targeted had a

We use cookies on this site to enhance your user experience. By clicking any link on this page you are giving your consent for us to set cookies.

Continue

Find out more

days after the second dose a vaccine effectiveness of 89% (95%CI: 85-93%) was seen. Individuals aged ≥ 70 years vaccinated from 4th January had a similar underlying risk of COVID-19 to unvaccinated individuals. With BNT162b2, vaccine effectiveness reached 61% (95%CI 51-69%) from 28-34 days after vaccination then plateaued. With the ChAdOx1 vaccine, vaccine effects were seen from 14-20 days after vaccination reaching an effectiveness of 60% (95%CI 41-73%) from 28-34 days and further increasing to 73% (95%CI 27-90%) from day 35 onwards. On top of the protection against symptomatic disease, cases who had been vaccinated with one dose of BNT162b2 had an additional 43% (95%CI 33-52%) lower risk of emergency hospitalisation and an additional 51% (95%CI 37-62%) lower risk of death. Cases who had been vaccinated with one dose of ChAdOx1 had an additional 37% (95% CI 3-59%) lower risk of emergency hospitalisation. There was insufficient follow-up to assess the effect of ChAdOx1 on mortality due to the later rollout of this vaccine. Combined with the effect against symptomatic disease, this indicates that a single dose of either vaccine is approximately 80% effective at preventing hospitalisation and a single dose of BNT162b2 is 85% effective at preventing death with COVID-19. Conclusion Vaccination with either a single dose of BNT162b2 or ChAdOx1 COVID-19 vaccination was associated with a significant reduction in symptomatic SARS-CoV2 positive cases in older adults with even greater protection against severe disease. Both vaccines show similar effects. Protection was maintained for the duration of follow-up (>6 weeks). A second dose of BNT162b2 provides further protection against symptomatic disease but second doses of ChAdOx1 have not yet been rolled out in England. There is a clear effect of the vaccines against the UK variant of concern.

Competing Interest Statement

The authors have declared no competing interest.

Funding Statement

This study was funded by Public Health England

Author Declarations

I confirm all relevant ethical guidelines have been followed, and any necessary IRB and/or ethics committee approvals have been obtained.

Yes

The details of the IRB/oversight body that provided approval or exemption for the research described are given below:

PHE Research Ethics and Governance Group Statement: Surveillance of COVID-19 testing and

We use cookies on this site to enhance your user experience. By clicking any link on this page you are giving your consent for us to set cookies.

[Continue](#)

[Find out more](#)

(c), 3(i)(d) (i) and (ii) and 3(3). The study protocol was subject to an internal review by the PHE Research Ethics and Governance Group and was found to be fully compliant with all regulatory requirements. As no regulatory issues were identified, and ethical review is not a requirement for this type of work, it was decided that a full ethical review would not be necessary.

All necessary patient/participant consent has been obtained and the appropriate institutional forms have been archived.

Yes

I understand that all clinical trials and any other prospective interventional studies must be registered with an ICMJE-approved registry, such as ClinicalTrials.gov. I confirm that any such study reported in the manuscript has been registered and the trial registration ID is provided (note: if posting a prospective study registered retrospectively, please provide a statement in the trial ID field explaining why the study was not registered in advance).

Yes

I have followed all appropriate research reporting guidelines and uploaded the relevant EQUATOR Network research reporting checklist(s) and other pertinent material as supplementary files, if applicable.

Yes

Paper in collection COVID-19 SARS-CoV-2 preprints from medRxiv and bioRxiv

Copyright

The copyright holder for this preprint is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

Tweets referencing this article:

EPIDATUM

@epidatum

Vaccination with either a single dose of BNT162b2 or ChAdOx1 #COVID-19 #vaccination was associated with a significant reduction in symptomatic #SARSCoV2 positive cases in older adults (in England) with even greater protection against severe disease. <https://>

03:26PM

We use cookies on this site to enhance your user experience. By clicking any link on this page you are giving your consent for us to set cookies.

Continue

Find out more

73% vs Biontech 89%

medRxiv Comment Policy

Comments are moderated for offensive or irrelevant content (can take ~24 hours). Duplicated submission is unnecessary.

Please read our Comment Policy before commenting.



1 Comment

medRxiv

Disqus' Privacy Policy

1 Login

Recommend

Tweet

Share

Sort by Newest



Join the discussion...

LOG IN WITH

OR SIGN UP WITH DISQUS



Name



Olivier Cazier • 21 hours ago

Contrary to MHS study of Pfizer in Israel , who took care of having vaccinated groups and unvaccinated groups with the same age, genre profile, comorbidities, etc, in this study, the two groups have very different profiles. They did a weighted correction, but give no details. As the results are quite different from MHS results, who gave a 57% efficiency for Pfizer first dose, one can be sceptical of the correftion method

Reply • Share

Subscribe Add Disqus to your site Add Disqus Add Do Not Sell My Data

Back to top

Previous

Next

Posted March 02, 2021.

Download PDF

Author Declarations

Supplementary Material

Data/Code

Email

Share

Citation Tools

Tweet

Like 51

We use cookies on this site to enhance your user experience. By clicking any link on this page you are giving your consent for us to set cookies.

Continue

Find out more

Subject Area

Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS) ►

Subject Areas

All Articles

Addiction Medicine
Allergy and Immunology
Anesthesia
Cardiovascular Medicine
Dentistry and Oral Medicine
Dermatology
Emergency Medicine
Endocrinology (including Diabetes Mellitus and Metabolic Disease)
Epidemiology
Forensic Medicine
Gastroenterology
Genetic and Genomic Medicine
Geriatric Medicine
Health Economics
Health Informatics
Health Policy
Health Systems and Quality Improvement
Hematology
HIV/AIDS
Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS)
Intensive Care and Critical Care Medicine
Medical Education
Medical Ethics
Nephrology
Neurology
Nursing
Nutrition
Obstetrics and Gynecology
Occupational and Environmental Health
Oncology

We use cookies on this site to enhance your user experience. By clicking any link on this page you are giving your consent for us to set cookies.

[Continue](#)

[Find out more](#)

Pain Medicine
Palliative Medicine
Pathology
Pediatrics
Pharmacology and Therapeutics
Primary Care Research
Psychiatry and Clinical Psychology
Public and Global Health
Radiology and Imaging
Rehabilitation Medicine and Physical Therapy
Respiratory Medicine
Rheumatology
Sexual and Reproductive Health
Sports Medicine
Surgery
Toxicology
Transplantation
Urology

Supported by **Chan
Zuckerberg
Initiative** 

We use cookies on this site to enhance your user experience. By clicking any link on this page you are giving your consent for us to set cookies.

[Continue](#)

[Find out more](#)